

# Basic Bible Training

**"All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for training in righteousness; so that the man of God may be adequate, equipped for every good work."**

**2 Timothy 3:16-17 (NASB95)**

**Taught By Thomas J. Short**

## THE BIBLE

### THE OLD TESTAMENT

39 Books from before the time of Jesus

#### 5 BOOKS FROM MOSES (O.T. & N.T. FOUNDATION)

Genesis  
Exodus  
Leviticus  
Number  
Deuteronomy

#### 12 BOOKS OF HISTORY

Joshua  
Judges  
Ruth  
1 & 2 Samuel  
1 & 2 Kings  
1 & 2 Chronicles  
Ezra  
Nehemiah  
Esther

#### 5 BOOKS OF WISDOM/POETRY

Job  
Psalms  
Proverbs  
Ecclesiastes  
Song of Songs

#### 5 BOOKS FROM FAMOUS PROPHETS

Isaiah  
Jeremiah  
Lamentations  
Ezekiel  
Daniel

#### 12 BOOKS FROM NOT-SO-FAMOUS PROPHETS

Hosea  
Joel  
Amos  
Obadiah  
Jonah  
Micah  
Nahum  
Habakkuk  
Zephaniah  
Haggai  
Zechariah  
Malachi

### THE NEW TESTAMENT

27 Books from the time of Jesus & after

#### 4 GOSPELS (N.T. FOUNDATION)

Matthew  
Mark  
Luke  
John

#### 1 BOOK OF HISTORY (N.T. FOUNDATION)

Acts

#### 12 LETTERS FROM THE APOSTLE PAUL

Romans  
1 & 2 Corinthians  
Galatians  
Ephesians  
Philippians  
Colossians  
1 & 2 Thessalonians  
1 & 2 Timothy  
Titus  
Philemon

#### 8 FROM OTHER APOSTLES OR PROPHETS

Hebrews  
James  
1 & 2 Peter  
1 & 2 & 3 John  
Jude

#### 1 BOOK OF PROPHECY

Revelation

## THE FOUNDATIONAL BOOKS OF THE BIBLE

### The Five Books of Moses

*The Foundational Books of the Old & New Testaments*

**Genesis** = The Creation; The Fall; The Flood; The Tower of Babel; & The Stories of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob & Joseph

**Exodus** = The Story of Israel's Miraculous Exodus from Egypt

**Leviticus** = A Rule Book for the Levites & Priests of Israel

**Numbers** = Two Counts of Israel: One at the Beginning of the Exodus & One at the End of the Exodus

**Deuteronomy** = Moses' Final Address to Israel

### The Four Gospels & The Book of Acts

*The Foundational Books of the New Testament*

**Matthew** = Written around the mid-40's by the Apostle Matthew for Jewish people.  
Contains a lot of Old Testament references.

**Mark** = Written during the mid-60's by John Mark (Peter's "secretary" in Rome) for people living in Rome.

Contains a lot of short stories about Jesus, specifically from Peter's perspective.

**Luke** = Written in the early 60's by Luke (Paul's Gentile traveling companion, a physician & a prophet) for Theophilus.

Contains a lot of eye-witness accounts, particular from women's perspectives.

**John** = Written in the mid-60's by the Apostle John to help people believe in Jesus as the Son of God.  
Contains a lot of direct quotes from Jesus.

**Acts** = Written in the early-60's by Luke as a follow-up to his first letter (Luke) to Theophilus.  
Contains lots of eyewitness information about the establishment & growth of Christ's Church from the time of Jesus' ascension (Spring, AD 33) until the second year of the Apostle Paul's detention at Rome (AD 63).

## THE UNIQUENESS OF THE BIBLE

- Written over a period of 1600 years (from about 1500 BC until around AD 100)
- Written on three continents (Africa, Asia, Europe)
- Written in three different source languages (Hebrew, Aramaic & Greek)
- Written on various materials (stone, clay, papyrus, parchment, leather)

Thus, the Bible is the most documented collection of writings in the world, with tens of thousands of very ancient copies & partial copies which can be compared with one another, so that we can be relatively certain that our modern Bible accurately represents the original texts.

- Written by at least 40 different authors of widely variant backgrounds (rich & poor; educated & not; popular & unpopular), using their own vocabularies & grammatical styles, in various emotional states
- Written about some of the most controversial topics known to humanity

Yet, there are no true contradictions indicated within the original text of the Bible.

## OLD TESTAMENT “CANON”<sup>1</sup>

Josephus (a 1<sup>st</sup> Century Jew, a Pharisee & a priest) testified about these same three sections in his writing, Against Apion, Book 1, Chapter 8. I’ve inserted **[bold bracket comments]** to help explain his count.

“For we have not an innumerable multitude of books among us, disagreeing from and contradicting one another [as the Greeks have], but only twenty-two books, which contain the records of all the past times; which are justly believed to be divine;<sup>2</sup> and of them five belong to Moses, which contain his laws and the traditions of the origin of mankind till his death. **[i.e., “The Law”]** This interval of time was little short of three thousand years; but as to the time from the death of Moses till the reign of Artaxerxes, king of Persia, who reigned after Xerxes, the prophets, who were after Moses, wrote down what was done in their times in thirteen books **[i.e., “The Prophets” = (1) Joshua, (2) Judges & Ruth together, (3) the Samuels, (4) the Kings, (5) the Chronicles, (6) Ezra & Nehemiah together, (7) Esther, (8) Isaiah, (9) Jeremiah & Lamentations (10) Ezekiel, (11) Daniel, [12] Job and (13) The Twelve “Minor” Prophets]** The remaining four books contain hymns to God, and precepts for the conduct of human life. **[i.e., “The Psalms” = Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Song of Songs].**

“It is true, our history hath been written since Artaxerxes very particularly, but hath not been esteemed of the like authority with the former by our forefathers, because there hath not been an exact succession of prophets since that time; and how firmly we have given credit to those books of our own nation, is evident by what we do; for during so many ages as have already passed, no one has been so bold as either to add anything to them, to take anything from them, or to make any change in them; but it becomes natural to all Jews, immediately and from their very birth, to esteem those books to contain divine doctrines, and to persist in them, and, if occasion be, willingly to die for them.<sup>3</sup> For it is no new thing for our captives, many of them in number, and frequently in time, to be seen to endure racks and deaths of all kinds upon the theatres, that they may not be obliged to say one word against our laws and the records that contain them; whereas there are none at all among the Greeks who would undergo the least harm on that account, no, nor in case all the writings that are among them were to be destroyed; for they take them to be such discourses as are famed agreeably to the inclinations of those that write them; and they have justly the same opinion of the ancient writers, since they see some of the present generation bold enough to write about such affairs, wherein they were not present, nor had concern enough to inform themselves about them from those that knew them: examples of which may be had in this late war of ours, where some persons have written histories, and published them, without having been in the places concerned, or having been near them when the actions were done; but these men put a few things together by hearsay, and insolently abuse the world, and call these writings by the name of Histories.”

Josephus also testified to the existence of the Septuagint, a Greek translation of the entire Old Testament produced by Hebrew/Greek speaking Jews in the early 3<sup>rd</sup> Century BC.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> **Κανών** *kān-ōn* – Literally, a wooden measurement rod. In theology, the standard by which Biblical books are accepted as “inspired.” Jesus identified the three sections of the “Jewish canon” in Luke 24:44.  
<sup>2</sup> By this (“believed to be divine”) Josephus meant the same thing that modern Christians mean by the phrase “inspired by God.”  
<sup>3</sup> Here then is the very clear conviction of the Pharisees that the “Jewish canon” was closed in the late 5<sup>th</sup> century B.C. with no more inspired books being added afterward, although Josephus freely acknowledges that certain uninspired books of historical importance were written during that later period (i.e., Maccabees, etc.).  
<sup>4</sup> Antiquities 12.2.

### Melito, Bishop of Sardis (around 180)

*As recorded in Eusebius' Church History, Book 4, Chapter 26<sup>5</sup>*

“Accordingly, when I went East and came to the place where these things were preached and done, I learned accurately the books of the Old Testament, and send them to you as written below. Their names are as follows: Of Moses, five books: [1] Genesis, [2] Exodus, [3] Numbers, [4] Leviticus, [5] Deuteronomy; [6] Jesus Nave,<sup>6</sup> [7] Judges, Ruth; [8 & 9] of Kings, four books<sup>7</sup>; [10] of Chronicles, two; [11] the Psalms of David, [12] the Proverbs of Solomon (Wisdom also),<sup>8</sup> [13] Ecclesiastes, [14] Song of Songs, [15] Job; of Prophets, [16] Isaiah, [17] Jeremiah<sup>9</sup>; [18] of the twelve prophets, one book; [19] Daniel, [20] Ezekiel, [21] Esdras.<sup>10</sup> From which also I have made the extracts, dividing them into six books.’ Such are the words of Melito.”<sup>11</sup>

### Tertullian (around 200)

*In On the Apparel of Women, Book 1, Chapter 3*

During his discussion he mentions a “Jewish canon” which did not include Enoch.

### Origen of Alexandria (around 240)

*As recorded in Eusebius' Church History, Book 6, Chapter 25*

Once again, I've inserted [bold bracket comments] to help explain his count.

“1. When expounding the first Psalm, he gives a catalogue of the sacred Scriptures of the Old Testament as follows: ‘It should be stated that the canonical books, as the Hebrews have handed them down, are twenty-two; corresponding with the number of their letters.’ Farther on he says:

2. ‘The twenty-two books of the Hebrews are the following: That which is called by us [1] Genesis... [2] Exodus... [3] Leviticus... [4] Numbers... [5] Deuteronomy... [6] Jesus, the son of Nave... [7] Judges and Ruth, among them in one book... [8] the First and Second of Kings... [9] the Third and Fourth of Kings in one... [10] of the Chronicles, the First and Second in one... [11] Esdras,<sup>12</sup> First and Second<sup>13</sup> in one, Ezra... [12] the book of Psalms... [13] the Proverbs of Solomon... [14] Ecclesiastes... [15] the Song of Songs (not, as some suppose, Songs of Songs)... [16] Isaiah... [17] Jeremiah, with Lamentations and the epistle in one... [18] Daniel; [19] Ezekiel... [20] Job... [21] Esther [And # 22 (matching Origen's own count in line one of this paragraph) should have been the Twelve Prophets which was accidentally skipped, either by Origen the source or (more likely) Eusebius the copyist.]. And besides these<sup>14</sup> there are the Maccabees.’ He gives these in the above-mentioned work.”

---

<sup>5</sup> I've inserted [bold bracket comments] to help explain the traditional Jewish counting of the books.

<sup>6</sup> “Joshua”

<sup>7</sup> 1 & 2 Samuel plus 1 & 2 Kings.

<sup>8</sup> This is probably just an alternate name for Proverbs.

<sup>9</sup> Which included Lamentations.

<sup>10</sup> The Greek name for Ezra & Nehemiah together.

<sup>11</sup> It is odd that Melito doesn't list Esther, since it was certainly part of the “Jewish canon.” It also throws off the traditional Hebrew count of 22.

<sup>12</sup> The Greek name for Ezra & Nehemiah together.

<sup>13</sup> Nehemiah

<sup>14</sup> This indicates that the Maccabees were considered as non-canonical literature.

## NEW TESTAMENT “CANON”

### Irenaeus, Bishop of Lyons (around 180)

#### *Against Heresies, Book 3, Chapter 1*

Irenaeus asserted that there were four inspired gospels within the Scripture handed down to his generation.

“WE have learned from none others the plan of our salvation, than from those through whom the Gospel has come down to us, which they did at one time proclaim in public, and, at a later period, by the will of God, **handed down to us in the Scriptures, to be the ground and pillar of our faith.** For it is unlawful to assert that they preached before they possessed “perfect knowledge,” as some do even venture to say, boasting themselves as improvers of the apostles. For, after our Lord rose from the dead, **[the apostles] were invested with power from on high when the Holy Spirit came down [upon them], were filled from all [His gifts], and had perfect knowledge:** they departed to the ends of the earth, preaching the glad tidings of the good things [sent] from God to us, and proclaiming the peace of heaven to men, who indeed do all equally and individually possess the Gospel of God. **Matthew also issued a written Gospel among the Hebrews in their own dialect,** while Peter and Paul were preaching at Rome, and laying the foundations of the Church. After their departure, **Mark, the disciple and interpreter of Peter, did also hand down to us in writing what had been preached by Peter. Luke also, the companion of Paul, recorded in a book the Gospel preached by him.** Afterwards, **John, the disciple of the Lord, who also had leaned upon His breast, did himself publish a Gospel** during his residence at Ephesus in Asia.”

In a latter passage, Irenaeus describes how the heretics were abusing each of the four gospels & in so doing makes the point that the number of inspired gospels is limited to the number four. (*Ibid.*, Chapters 10 & 11)

### Muratorian Canon (around 170)

Though its first section is missing, it is obvious that this document upheld the apostolic authenticity of much of what we call the New Testament, starting with the four gospels.

“... those things at which he was present he placed thus.

“The third book of the Gospel—the one according to **Luke**, the well-known physician—was written by Luke in his own name, sequentially, after the ascension of Christ at the time when Paul had associated him with himself as one studious of righteousness. [Luke] himself did not see the Lord in the flesh. As he was able he began his narrative with the birth of John [the Baptist].

“The fourth Gospel is that of **John**, one of the disciples. When his fellow disciples and bishops pleaded with him, he said, “Fast with me for three days, and then we’ll tell each other whatever may be revealed to any of us.” That very night it was revealed to Andrew, one of the apostles, that John should write everything in his own name as they remembered them.

“As a result, although different points are taught to us in the various books of the Gospels, there is no difference as regards the faith of believers. In all of them under [the influence of] one imperial Spirit, everything is told which concerns the Lord’s birth, his suffering, his resurrection, his conduct with His disciples, and his twofold coming: the first in the humiliation of rejection, which is now past, and the second in the glory of royal power, which is still in the future.

“What a marvel it is, then, that John presents these various things so consistently in his letters, too! He says in his own person, “What we have seen with our eyes, heard with our ears, and our hands have handled, that have we written.” For in this way he testifies that he is not only the eye-witness, but also the hearer. Besides that, he is also the historian of all the wondrous facts concerning the Lord in their order.

## Muratorian Canon (continued)

“In addition, the **Acts** of all the Apostles are comprised by Luke in one book and addressed to the most excellent Theophilus, because these events took place when he was present himself. He shows this clearly—i.e., that the principle on which he wrote was, to give only what fell under his own notice—by the omission of the suffering of Peter, and also of the journey of Paul when he went from the city of Rome to Spain.

“As to **the epistles of Paul**: again, to those who will understand the matter, they give their own indication of what they are, from what place or with what purpose they were directed. He wrote first of all—and at considerable length—to the **Corinthians**, to check the schism of heresy; then to the **Galatians**, to forbid circumcision; then to the **Romans** on the rule of the Old Testament Scriptures—and also to show them that Christ is the first object in these, which it is necessary for us to discuss separately.

“The blessed Apostle Paul, following the rule of his predecessor John, **writes to no more than seven churches by name**, in this order: the first to the **Corinthians**, the second to the **Ephesians**, the third to the **Philippians**, the fourth to the **Colossians**, the fifth to the **Galatians**, the sixth to the **Thessalonians**, the seventh to the **Romans**. In addition, though he writes **twice to the Corinthians and Thessalonians** for their correction, still it is apparent—by this sevenfold writing—that there is one Church spread abroad through the whole world. **John**, too, in the **Revelation**, although he writes only to seven churches, yet addresses all.

**“[Paul] wrote, besides these, one to Philemon, one to Titus, and two to Timothy, in simple personal affection and love indeed. Nonetheless, these are holy in the esteem of the catholic [i.e., universal] Church, and in the regulation of church discipline.**

“There are also in circulation one to the Laodiceans and another to the Alexandrians, forged under the name of Paul and addressed against the heresy of Marcion. There are also several others which cannot be received into the catholic Church, for it is not suitable for gall to be mingled with honey.<sup>15</sup>

“The **Epistle of Jude**, indeed, and **two**<sup>16</sup> belonging to the above-named **John**—or bearing the name of John—are reckoned among the catholic epistles, along with the book of Wisdom, written by the friends of Solomon in his honor.<sup>17</sup>

“We also receive the **Revelation of John** and that of Peter, though some among us will not have this latter read in the Church.<sup>18</sup> The Pastor, moreover, did Hermas write very recently in our times in the city of Rome, while his brother, bishop Pius, sat in the chair of the Church of Rome. Therefore it also ought to be read, but it cannot be read publicly in the church to the people, nor placed among the prophets—as their number is complete—nor among the apostles to the end of time.<sup>19</sup>

“Of the writings of Arsinous, also called Valentinus, or of Miltiades, we receive nothing at all. Those are also rejected who wrote the new Book of Psalms for Marcion, together with Basilides and the founder of the Asian Cataphrygians.

---

<sup>15</sup> This shows an early, clear-cut distinction between “canonical” (inspired) & “non-canonical” (uninspired) books.

<sup>16</sup> Evidently 3<sup>rd</sup> John was not known to this Christian writer.

<sup>17</sup> This so-called “Wisdom of Solomon” was never part of the “Jewish canon” but for some reason was popular in certain Christian circles of the late 2<sup>nd</sup> Century.

<sup>18</sup> This so-called “Apocalypse of Peter” was not treated as inspired.

<sup>19</sup> The so-called “Shepherd of Hermas” was recommended for personal reading but was not considered inspired or “canonical.”

**Tertullian (around 200)**  
*In Against Marcion, Book 4, Chapter 5*

In opposing the heretic Marcion, Tertullian also asserts that there are but four inspired Gospels and in the process upholds the apostolic authority of eight specific letters by Paul.

“On the whole, then, if that is evidently more true which is earlier, if that is earlier which is from the very beginning, if that is from the beginning which has the apostles for its authors, then it will certainly be quite as evident, that that comes down from the apostles, which has been kept as a sacred deposit in the churches of the apostles.<sup>20</sup> Let us see what milk the **Corinthians** drank from Paul; to what rule *of faith* the **Galatians** were brought for correction; what the **Philippians**, the **Thessalonians**, the **Ephesians** read *by it*; what utterance also the **Romans** give, so very near (to the apostles), to whom Peter and Paul conjointly bequeathed the gospel even sealed with their own blood. We have also *St. John’s* foster churches. For although Marcion rejects his **Apocalypse**,<sup>21</sup> **the order of the bishops (thereof), when traced up to their origin, will yet rest on John as their author**. In the same manner is recognised the excellent source of the other churches. I say, therefore, that in them (and not simply such of them as were rounded by apostles, but in all those which are united with them in the fellowship of the mystery *of the gospel of Christ* ) that **Gospel of Luke** which we are defending with all our might has stood its ground from its very first publication; whereas Marcion’s Gospel is not known to most people, and to none whatever is it known without being at the same time condemned. It too, of course, has its churches, but specially its own—as late as they are spurious; and should you want to know their original, you will more easily discover apostasy in it than apostolicity, with Marcion forsooth as their founder, or someone of Marcion’s swarm. Even wasps make combs; so also these Marcionites make churches. **The same authority of the apostolic churches will afford evidence to the other Gospels also, which we possess equally through their means, and according to their usage—I mean the Gospels of John and Matthew—whilst that which Mark published may be affirmed to be Peter’s whose interpreter Mark was**. For even Luke’s form of the Gospel men usually ascribe to Paul. And it may well seem that the works which disciples publish belong to their masters.”

*In On Modesty, Chapter 10*

During his discussion he complains that the “Shepherd of Hermas” was being cited as an excuse for tolerating adultery & points out that he might accept such a citation if the book “deserved to find a place in the Divine canon; if it had not been habitually judged by every council of Churches (even of your own) among apocryphal and false (writings);<sup>22</sup> itself adulterous.”

*In On the Apparel of Women, Chapter 3*

While noting that the Book of Enoch was not part of the “Jewish canon” he was none-the-less interested in it for the simple fact a line from it had been quoted in **Jude**.

**Eusebius’ Church History, Book 4, Chapter 24 (around 320)**

Quotes directly from **Hebrews** 11, stating clearly that it was written by “the Apostle.”

---

<sup>20</sup> This defends the precept of “canonical writings” being the authentic writings of the apostles themselves or their intimate companions.

<sup>21</sup> Revelation.

<sup>22</sup> This indicates that the various churches had already been compiling “canon” lists to rule out uninspired or non-apostolic writings.



### Eusebius' Church History, Book 2, Chapter 23 (around 320)

“These things are recorded in regard to **James**, who is said to be the author of the first of the so-called catholic epistles. But it is to be observed that it is disputed; at least, not many of the ancients have mentioned it, as is the case likewise with the epistle that bears the name of Jude, which is also **one of the seven so-called catholic epistles**.<sup>23</sup> Nevertheless we know that these also, with the rest, have been read publicly in very many churches.<sup>24</sup>”

### Eusebius' Church History, Book 6, Chapter 25 (around 320)

Eusebius reviewed some of Origen's canon, from about 240.

“Among the four Gospels, which are the only indisputable ones in the Church of God under heaven, I have learned by tradition that the first was written by **Matthew**, who was once a publican, but afterwards an apostle of Jesus Christ, and it was prepared for the converts from Judaism, and published in the Hebrew language.

“The second is by **Mark**, who composed it according to the instructions of Peter, who in his Catholic epistle acknowledges him as a son, saying, 'The church that is at Babylon elected together with you, salutes you, and so does Marcus, my son.'

“And the third by **Luke**, the Gospel commended by Paul and composed for Gentile converts. Last of all that by **John**.

“In the fifth book of his Expositions of John's Gospel, he speaks thus concerning **the epistles of the apostles**: But he who was 'made sufficient to be a minister of the New Testament, not of the letter, but of the Spirit,' that is, **Paul**, who 'fully preached the Gospel from Jerusalem and round about even unto Illyricum,' did not write to all the churches which he had instructed and to those to which he wrote he sent but few lines.

“And **Peter**, on whom the Church of Christ is built, 'against which the gates of hell shall not prevail,' **has left one acknowledged epistle; perhaps also a second**, but this is doubtful.

“Why need we speak of him who reclined upon the bosom of Jesus, **John, who has left us one Gospel**, though he confessed that he might write so many that the world could not contain them? And **he wrote also the Apocalypse** but was commanded to keep silence and not to write the words of the seven thunders.

“**He has left also an epistle of very few lines; perhaps also a second and third**; but not all consider them genuine, and together they do not contain hundred lines.

“In addition he makes the following statements in regard to the **Epistle to the Hebrews** in his Homilies upon it: That the verbal style of the epistle entitled 'To the Hebrews,' is not rude like the language of the apostle, who acknowledged himself 'rude in speech' that is, in expression; but that its diction is purer Greek, anyone who has the power to discern differences of phraseology will acknowledge.

“Moreover, that the thoughts of the epistle are admirable, and not inferior to the acknowledged apostolic writings, anyone who carefully examines the apostolic text will admit.'

“Farther on he adds: If I gave my opinion, I should say that the thoughts are those of the apostle, but the diction and phraseology are those of someone who remembered the apostolic teachings and wrote down at his leisure what had been said by his teacher. Therefore, if any church holds that this epistle is by Paul, let it be commended for this. For not without reason have the ancients handed it down as Paul's.

“But who wrote the epistle, in truth, God knows. The statement of some who have gone before us is that Clement, bishop of the Romans, wrote the epistle, and of others that Luke, the author of the Gospel and the Acts, wrote it. But let this suffice on these matters.”

---

<sup>23</sup> Name applied to James, Jude, 1 & 2 Peter and 1, 2 & 3 John.

<sup>24</sup> Which means they were accepted as “inspired” and therefore “canonical.”

## **BIBLE STUDY PRINCIPLES**

### **The “Inspiration” of the O.T. Scripture (“Writings”)**

- 2 Timothy 3:16-17 (“God-breathed” doesn’t necessarily mean “dictation”)
  - Many of the prophecies are certainly framed as “Thus says HE WHO IS”; some are considered as “burdens” or “loads” [“oracles”] given by God to be carried to the people for whom they are intended; others are specific “messages” from God.
  - However, some are “visions” which are described by the prophet in their own words.
  - Some Scriptures are historical narrations by eyewitnesses or by those who consulted eyewitnesses. [Along this line, Jesus promised to His apostles Holy Spirit assistance in recalling details of their interaction with Him (John 14:26). I presume that something similar was going on in the historical narratives of the O.T.]
- Romans 15:4 & 1 Corinthians 10:11 (They were written down for ongoing instruction)
- 2 Peter 1:20-21 (Prophets simply “carry” the message of God)
- 1 Peter 1:10-12 (Prophets don’t necessarily understand their prophecies; nor do angels, for that matter)

### **The Transmission of those Scriptures**

- In a nutshell, I believe that the original texts (“autographs”) were inspired by God.
- Professional copyists (“scribes”) were responsible for the transmission of the inspired text. They tried to be very precise; they were human, however, and scribal errors did occur. Unfortunately, some unscrupulous scribes (particularly after the rise of Christianity) sometimes manipulated the text to reflect their own belief system.
- We have tens of thousands of manuscripts, manuscript pieces, quotations in other writings, plus translations into other languages – all before the invention of the printing press – which can help us in determining the original text with near certitude. The comparison of these various manuscripts helps us identify the scribal errors & manipulations.
- There is a (sometimes vicious) debate regarding how this comparison should be carried out.
  - At one end of the spectrum, you’ll find the “King James Only” types who come off as believing that the “majority text” or “received text” of the 16<sup>th</sup> Century represents an “inspired” transmission of Scripture, any departure from which represents rank heresy.
  - At the other end of the spectrum are the purely humanistic types, such as the “Jesus Seminar” folks, who pick & choose which texts they consider closest to the original based on their own concepts of textual evolution, since in their minds the whole process is devoid of any divine inspiration.
  - I prefer to come down somewhere in the middle (although much closer to the anti-humanist concerns of the KJV only folks) of the spectrum – looking at all the manuscripts we have (not just those underlying the KJV) – and trying to understand what the scribes did during the centuries of transmission & how to backtrack from there to the best representation of the inspired “autograph”.
- Keep in mind, most variations between all the manuscripts are spelling issues (proper names, mostly) & numbers – the two toughest things to hand copy. Many of the other places revolve around synonyms (words with similar meanings), homographs (words spelled the same, but with different meanings) or homophones (words that sound the same, but with different meaning) and either make little doctrinal difference or can be generally sorted out quite easily as a scribal transmission issue. Many of these you’ll see dealt with in your Bible’s footnotes. Frankly, you can be quite confident that the mainline English Bible translation you’re reading represents the original, inspired text, with few exceptions. In those relatively few places where doctrinal issues are impacted by the uncertainty of the variations, trustworthy teachers try to deal with the issue openly & honestly.

### **Let's talk about English Language Bibles:**

- **Paraphrases** are very loose “rewordings” of the Bible, usually by an individual – for example, Taylor’s The Living Bible and Peterson’s The Message. I really can’t recommend them at all. I even think they can be dangerous to understanding God’s Word.
- **Dynamic Equivalence Versions** are attempts to represent the original text’s concept in a more modern equivalent English wording – for example, the NIV and the NASB(95). I understand the sentiment, but recommend caution in using them, primarily because they can often obscure inspired plays on words or damage/destroy very precise grammatical points.
- **Formal Equivalence Translations** try to give the exact English equivalent of the original language text; in the best cases, right down to precise grammatical structure – for example, the KJV, the NASB(pre-1995) and the ESV. These are sometimes a little tougher to understand because of differences between the Bible culture & our own, as well as a loss in our own mastery of the finer points of the English language. However, by & large, you can be much more confident you’re seeing the Word of God in a form closest to the original when using these translations.

### **Bible Study via the Historical-Grammatical Approach**

- **BE INTENTIONAL IN YOUR BIBLE STUDY (2 Timothy 2:15).**
- **KNOW THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT.**<sup>25</sup>
  - To whom were the inspired words originally written?
  - By whom (the human author) was it written?
  - When was it written?
  - Where was it written?
  - Why was it written?
- **STRIVE TO UNDERSTAND THE INTENDED MEANING.**
  - Build your Bible vocabulary.
    - There are many tools available to help you learn the original language vocabulary.
      - Strong’s Concordance is built upon the KJV.
      - Young’s Concordance is built upon the NASB.
    - See how the same word (or related words) are used elsewhere.
      - Is it used by the same author in the same Bible book?
      - Is it used by the same author in another Bible book?
      - Is it used by another Bible author around the same time?
      - Is it used by non-Biblical authors around the same time?
  - Pay attention to grammatical structure.
    - How does it fit into the context of this particular Bible book?
    - How does it fit into the context of the Bible as a whole?
    - Is the author issuing a command, making a statement, asking a question or something else?
    - Is the author making use of some figure of speech?
    - Is the author quoting from elsewhere in the Bible?
    - Is the author quoting from outside the Bible?
- **APPLY THE PASSAGE APPROPRIATELY.**
  - Don’t start with a preconceived, modern application in mind & “cherry pick” Bible passages to support this.
  - Be very cautious about overlaying your modern mindset upon any Biblical passage.
  - Try your best to compare “apples to apples” & “oranges to oranges” when applying any Biblical passage to any modern situation.

---

<sup>25</sup> Internal Evidence & Primary Historical Resources (such as Josephus) can help with this.

<b>DATE OF PUBLICATION</b>	<b>FORMAL EQUIVELANCE “Word-for-word”</b>	<b>DYNAMIC EQUIVELANCE “Thought-for-thought” and/or “paraphrase”</b>
1395	<b>WYCLIFFE’S BIBLE</b> The very first (although “unauthorized”) English language Bible	
1537	<b>MATTHEW BIBLE (Used TYNDALE’S NEW TESTAMENT OF 1526)</b> Two of the three translators were burned at the stake for producing this “unauthorized” version	
1539	<b>GREAT BIBLE</b> The 1 <sup>st</sup> “authorized” English Bible version for the Church of England	
1560	<b>GENEVA BIBLE</b> This annotated (“unauthorized”) Bible was taken to the “New World” by the non-conformist “Pilgrims”	
1568	<b>BISHOPS’ BIBLE</b> The 2 <sup>nd</sup> “authorized” English Bible version for the Church of England	
1611	<b>KING JAMES VERSION</b> The 3 <sup>rd</sup> “authorized” English Bible version for the Church of England	
1862	<b>YOUNG’S LITERAL TRANSLATION</b> Used the Textus Receptus & the Majority Texts (Same as KJV)	
1885	<b>AUTHORIZED VERSION</b> The 1 <sup>st</sup> “authorized” revision of the King James for use by the Church of England (Used some of the newly discovered manuscripts besides those used by KJV)	
1887	<b>YOUNG’S LITERAL TRANSLATION REVISION</b> (Used the Westcott-Hort text, including newly discovered manuscripts)	
1900-1901	<b>AMERICAN STANDARD VERSION</b> American project springing from the English dominated AV of 1885	
1946-1952	<b>REVISED STANDARD VERSION</b> An “authorized” revision of the ASV	
1961-1970		<b>NEW ENGLISH BIBLE</b> First use of dynamic equivalence
1963-1971	<b>NEW AMERICAN STANDARD BIBLE</b> A 2 <sup>nd</sup> “authorized” revision of the ASV by “conservative” translators, since the earlier RSV was being slammed as a work by “liberal” translators	
1966-1976		<b>GOOD NEWS BIBLE, or TODAY’S ENGLISH VERSION</b> A second attempt to bring the meaning of the Bible into everyday English
1971		<b>TAYLOR’S LIVING BIBLE</b> A paraphrase of the ASV originally intended for use with the author’s young children.
1973-1978		<b>NEW INTERNATIONAL VERSION</b> (Used a wide variety of manuscripts)
1982	<b>NEW KING JAMES VERSION</b> Intended to update the archaic language of the original KJV (Not accepted by some due to its use of manuscripts not used by the original KJV)	
1989	<b>NEW REVISED STANDARD VERSION</b> Intended by its publishers to completely replace the original ASV & RSV	
1991-1995		<b>CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH VERSION</b>
1993-2002		<b>PETERSON’S THE MESSAGE</b> A paraphrase originally intended for use in the author’s ministry
1995		<b>NASB (1995 Revision)</b>
1999-2004		<b>HOLMAN CHRISTIAN STANDARD</b> A project funded by the Southern Baptists
2001	<b>ENGLISH STANDARD VERSION</b> A revision of the 1971 edition of the RSV, intended to make it more readable	
2002-2005		<b>TODAY’S NEW INTERNATIONAL VERSION</b> A version built upon the NIV



**Worksheet # 1**  
**"Who is God?"**  
**(His Identity)**

**Instructions:** Read the following Scriptures and write down what each of them reveals about the identity of God.

Genesis 1:1

Exodus 3:13-15

## Class Notes

**Worksheet # 2**  
**"What is God like?"**  
**(His Nature)**

**Instructions:** Read the following Scriptures in order to answer the indicated questions about *HE WHO IS*'s nature.

Of the many "gods" known to Mankind how many of them are truly God?  
(Deuteronomy 6:4; Isaiah 43:10-12; 44:6-8; 45:5-6, 18, 21-22; 46:9-10)

Is Satan a god?  
(2 Corinthians 4:4; Ephesians 2:2; cf. John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11; 1 John 5:19)

Is Jesus God?  
(John 1:1; Philippians 2:6)

Is the Holy Spirit God?  
(Luke 1:35; Acts 5:3-5)

**Class Notes**



## Class Notes

**Worksheet # 3**  
**"What is God like?"**  
**(His Tri-Unity)**

**Instructions:** Read the following Scripture passages and write down what they reveal about *HE WHO IS*'s triune nature.

	<b>Father/God</b>	<b>Son/Jesus/Christ</b>	<b>Holy Spirit</b>
<b>Luke 3:21-22</b>			
<b>Matthew 28:18-20</b>			
<b>Ephesians 4:4-6</b>			
<b>2 Corinthians 13:14</b>			
<b>1 Peter 1:1-2</b>			
<b>Jude 20-21</b>			
<b>Revelation 1:4-6</b>			

## Class Notes

**Worksheet # 4**  
**"What is God like?"**  
**(His Character)**

**Instructions:** Read the following Scriptures to discover three of *HE WHO IS*'s main character traits.

Trait # 1

Isaiah 6:1-4; Revelation 4:1-11; Leviticus 19:2; 1 Peter 1:15-16 and 1 John 1:5

Trait # 2

Exodus 34:6-7a; 1 John 4:8,16

Trait # 3

Exodus 34:7b; Psalm 9:7-8; 98:1-9 and Jude 1:14-15

**Class Notes**

**Worksheet # 5**  
**"What Is Sin And Where Did It Come From?"**

**Instructions:** Read Genesis chapters 1-3 and then answer the following questions:

In whose image were the Man and the Woman created?  
(1:26-27)

What was the one prohibition *HE WHO IS* placed upon Man and Woman, and what did He say would happen if they violated this prohibition?  
(2:16-17; cf. 3:2-3)

Use the reverse side of this page to list the universal consequences that resulted from the Man's and the Woman's violation of this prohibition.  
(3:16-18, 22-24; cf. 1 Corinthians 14:34; Romans 8:20-22; 5:12-14)

What is sin?  
(1 John 3:4)

What is the ultimate penalty for personal sin?  
(James 1:13-15; Romans 6:23a; Revelation 20:11-15; Ezekiel 18:1ff)

## Class Notes

**Worksheet # 6**  
**"What is 'The Sin Problem'?"**

**Instructions:** Keeping in mind everything that you've learned so far, take a moment to answer the following key questions:

According to Scripture, who sins?  
(Romans 3:23a<sup>26</sup>; 1 John 1:8,10)

Have you sinned, and if so, what must *HE WHO IS* do with you?

---

<sup>26</sup> You really ought to read and then carefully consider Paul's argument that leads up to this very famous quote (Romans 1:18-3:20).



## Class Notes

## Worksheet # 7

### **“What Is The Old Testament System Of Animal Sacrifices All About?”**

**Instructions:** Read the following Scriptures to answer some very important questions regarding the Old Testament system of animal sacrifice.

Animal sacrifices were habitually offered to God by the key characters in the book of Genesis (4:4; 8:20-22; 12:9; 13:18; 22:13; 26:25; 33:20; 35:7). But who established these blood sacrifices? When were they established? And, most importantly, why? (Genesis 3:21; cf. 3:7)

According to the Old Testament Law, contact with blood was a significant event - accidental contact resulted in a ceremony of cleansing (Leviticus 15:1ff), while purposeful contact resulted in death (Leviticus 7:27; 20:18). Why was blood held in such high regard? (Leviticus 17:11; cf. Hebrews 9:22)

What made the sacrifice of an animal for sin such a personal event? (Leviticus 4:1ff; focus in on verses 4, 15, 24, 29, 33, but then take special note of 5:5-6)

What effect did the sacrificial system have on the Sin Problem? (Hebrews 10:1-4)

## Class Notes

## Worksheet # 8

### **“What Was The Point Of The Ministry Of John The Immerser<sup>27</sup>?”**

**Instructions:** John the Immerser was basically the final prophet of the Old Covenant period.<sup>28</sup> Answer the following questions about him.

Who commissioned John? (Luke 3:2; John 1:33)

What was John's message (Matthew 3:2-3//Mark 1:2-4//Luke 3:3-6) and how did people respond to it? (Matthew 3:5-6//Mark 1:5)

John described the fate of those who did not respond to his message. What was it and does it relate to anything we've learned so far? (Matthew 3:7-12//Luke 3:7-9, 15-17)

When asked by some as to how they should repent, John described what true repentance looks like. What were his examples of repentance? (Luke 3:10-14)

Since Jesus had never sinned and therefore had no need for "an immersion of repentance for the forgiveness of sins," why then did he insist that John immerse him? (Matthew 3:13-17; Leviticus Chapter 8; cf. Exodus Chapters 28 and 29)

By what title did John introduce Jesus to his first disciples? (John 1:29-51)

How did the message and ministry of Jesus compare to that of John the Immerser? (John 3:22; 4:1-2; Mark 1:14-15//Matthew 4:17)

---

<sup>27</sup> The words "baptize," "baptism" and "Baptist" are entirely inadequate since they represent transliterations (letter-for-letter representation) of the Greek root *bapti-*, which clearly and indisputably means "immerse, dip or plunge." In my opinion, English Bible versions have purposely used these transliterations in order to avoid the objections (and perhaps even boycotts) of very large and powerful religious groups that have replaced immersion with sprinkling and pouring. That's not right.

<sup>28</sup> Matthew 11:13.

## Class Notes

**Worksheet # 9**

**“What Is *HE WHO IS*'s Solution To The Sin Problem?”**

**Instructions:** Answer the following questions about *HE WHO IS*'s solution to the sin problem.

What role did love play in *HE WHO IS*'s solution? (John 3:16)

What role did justice play in *HE WHO IS*'s solution? (2 Corinthians 5:21; Romans 3:21-26; 5:6-10; 1 John 4:9-10; John 19:30; and Colossians 2:13-14)

Was *HE WHO IS*'s solution applied universally or automatically? Did it automatically reverse the universal curses of Genesis 3:14-19? Does it automatically save everyone? (Luke 13:22-30; Revelation 20:11-15)

**Class Notes**

**Worksheet # 10**

**"How Do I Accept *HE WHO IS*'s Solution To My Sin Problem?"**

Read the following Scripture passages and write down what should happen for each of us to accept *HE WHO IS*'s solution to our sin problem. [Keep your eyes open! There are sometime two (or more) things for each group of Scriptures.]

Hebrews 11:1, 6; James 2:14-26

Romans 10:6-15; Acts 19:17-19; 1 Timothy 6:12; Matthew 10:32-33

Matthew 3:1-12; 4:17; John 4:1-2; John 1:30-31; Matthew 28:18-20; Acts 2:38; Romans 6:1-11; Galatians 3:26-28; 1 Corinthians 12:12-14; 1 Peter 3:18-22 [Read also the O.T. story about Naaman the Leper (2 Kings 5:1ff)]



## Class Notes

## Worksheet # 11

### **“What Are The Most Immediate Results Of Accepting *HE WHO IS*'s Solution?”**

Read the following Scriptures and write down what happens whenever someone accepts *HE WHO IS*'s solution to the sin problem.

Regarding the 2<sup>nd</sup> Death. (John 3:16-18, 36; 5:24; 6:40, 47, 51; 8:51; 11:25-26)

Regarding sin. (Acts 2:38; 5:31; 10:38-43; 13:38-39; 26; 16-18; Colossians 2:9-15)

Regarding the Holy Spirit (Acts 2:38; 2 Corinthians 1:21-22; Ephesians 1:13-14; John 7:37-39<sup>29</sup>; cf. 4:10, 14)

---

<sup>29</sup> The terms "living water" and "the water of life," and the amazing concept of *HE WHO IS*'s Holy Spirit actually indwelling individual believers can be found many other places within Scripture (Isaiah 44:3; 55:1ff; Jeremiah 2:13; 17:13; Joel 3:18; Ezekiel 47:1-12; Zechariah 13:1; 14:8; Revelation 7:17; 21:6; 22:1-2, 17). You would do well to read all these passages, paying careful attention to the context of each reference.

**Class Notes**

**Worksheet # 12**  
**“What’s The Point Of ‘Church’ ?”**

The English word “Church” ultimately comes from an ancient word that meant “Of The Lord.” In our Bibles it is typically used to translate Greek & Hebrew words which meant “assembly of persons” & eventually came to refer to the building where that assembly met.

Read the following Scriptures and write down what they indicate about assembling with other believers.

After people responded to the first Gospel Sermon in Acts 2:37-47 (cf. Acts 5:42)

The “First Day of the Week” Connection in Acts 20:7 & 1 Corinthians 16:1-2

Warning about neglecting the regular assembly of believers in Hebrews 10:19-25

**Class Notes**